



INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION PUBLISHED UNDER THE PATENT COOPERATION TREATY (PCT)

(51) International Patent Classification⁵ : C12P 21/08, C12N 5/20, 15/13 A61K 39/395, G01N 33/577 A61K 47/48	A1	(11) International Publication Number: WO 93/23556 (43) International Publication Date: 25 November 1993 (25.11.93)
(21) International Application Number: PCT/US93/04189 (22) International Filing Date: 3 May 1993 (03.05.93) (30) Priority data: 07/880,400 8 May 1992 (08.05.92) US 08/056,966 29 April 1993 (29.04.93) US (71) Applicant: GENENTECH, INC. [US/US]; 460 Point San Bruno Boulevard, South San Francisco, CA 94080 (US). (72) Inventor: KIM, Kyung, Jin ; 94 Eastwood Drive, San Francisco, CA 94112 (US). (74) Agents: JOHNSTON, Sean, A. et al.; Genentech, Inc., 460 Point San Bruno Boulevard, South San Francisco, CA 94080 (US).		(81) Designated States: AU, CA, JP, NZ, European patent (AT, BE, CH, DE, DK, ES, FR, GB, GR, IE, IT, LU, MC, NL, PT, SE). Published <i>With international search report.</i>

(54) Title: ANTIBODIES TO LEUKEMIA INHIBITORY FACTOR**(57) Abstract**

The invention relates to monoclonal antibodies to human leukemia inhibitory factor. The disclosed monoclonal antibodies are believed to recognize unique epitopes on hLIF and are useful in the treatment of conditions wherein the presence of hLIF causes or contributes to undesirable pathological effects, such as cachexia, dysregulated calcium metabolism, or excessive bone cell proliferation, and in the detection of hLIF, for example, in clinical samples or specimens.

FOR THE PURPOSES OF INFORMATION ONLY

Codes used to identify States party to the PCT on the front pages of pamphlets publishing international applications under the PCT.

AT	Austria	FR	France	MR	Mauritania
AU	Australia	GA	Gabon	MW	Malawi
BB	Barbados	GB	United Kingdom	NL	Netherlands
BE	Belgium	GN	Guinea	NO	Norway
BF	Burkina Faso	GR	Greece	NZ	New Zealand
BG	Bulgaria	HU	Hungary	PL	Poland
BJ	Benin	IE	Ireland	PT	Portugal
BR	Brazil	IT	Italy	RO	Romania
CA	Canada	JP	Japan	RU	Russian Federation
CF	Central African Republic	KP	Democratic People's Republic of Korea	SD	Sudan
CG	Congo	KR	Republic of Korea	SE	Sweden
CH	Switzerland	KZ	Kazakhstan	SK	Slovak Republic
CI	Côte d'Ivoire	LJ	Liechtenstein	SN	Senegal
CM	Cameroon	LK	Sri Lanka	SU	Soviet Union
CS	Czechoslovakia	LU	Luxembourg	TD	Chad
CZ	Czech Republic	MC	Monaco	TC	Togo
DE	Germany	MG	Madagascar	UA	Ukraine
DK	Denmark	ML	Mali	US	United States of America
ES	Spain	MN	Mongolia	VN	Viet Nam
FI	Finland				

ANTIBODIES TO LEUKEMIA INHIBITORY FACTOR

Field of the Invention

This application relates to hybrid cell lines (lymphocyte
5 hybridomas) for the production of monoclonal antibodies to human
leukemia inhibitory factor, to such homogeneous monospecific
antibodies, and to the use of such antibodies for diagnostic and
therapeutic purposes.

10

Background of the Invention

Leukemia inhibitory factor (LIF) is a polypeptide with a broad
range of biological effects. LIF was initially purified from mouse
cells and identified on the basis of its ability to induce
differentiation in and suppress the proliferation of the murine
15 monocytic leukemia cell line M1. Tomida, et al., J. Biol. Chem.
259:10978-10982 (1984); Tomida, et al., FEBS Lett. 178:291-296
(1984). Human LIF (hLIF) subsequently was shown to have comparable
effects on human HL60 and U937 cells, particularly when acting in
collaboration with GM-CSF or G-CSF colony stimulating factors.
20 Maekawa, et al. Leukemia 3:270-276 (1989).

LIF has been shown to exhibit a variety of biological activities
and effects on different cell types. For example, it has been shown
to stimulate osteoblast proliferation and new bone formation, Metcalf,
et al., Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci., 86:5948-5952 (1989), as well as bone
25 resorption, Abe, et al., Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. 83:5958-5962 (1986);
Reid, et al., Endocrinology 126:1416-1420 (1990), stimulate liver
cells to produce acute phase plasma proteins, Baumann, et al., J.
Immunol. 143:1163-1167 (1989), inhibit lipoprotein lipase, Mori, et
al., Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun. 160:1085-1092 (1989), stimulate
30 neuronal differentiation and survival, Murphy, et al., Proc. Nat.
Acad. Sci. 88:3498-3501 (1991), Yamamori, et al., Science 246:1412-
1416 (1989), and inhibit vascular endothelial cell growth, Ferrara, et
al., Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. 89:698-702 (1992). Receptors for LIF have
been found on monocyte-macrophages, osteoblasts, placental
35 trophoblasts, and liver parenchymal cells. Hilton, et al., J. Cell.

Biochem. 46:21-26 (1991); Allan, et al., J. Cell. Physiol. 145:110-119 (1990); Hilton, et al., Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. 85:5971-5975 (1988).

Depending upon its particular activity or effect, LIF has been referred to by various names, including differentiation-inducing factor (DIF, D-factor), hepatocyte-stimulating factor (HSF-II, HSF-III), melanoma-derived LPL inhibitor (MLPLI), and cholinergic neuronal differentiation factor (CDF). Hilton, et al., J. Cell. Biochem. 46:21-26 (1991).

Genomic and cDNA clones encoding murine, rat, and human LIF have been isolated. Gearing, et al., EMBO J. 6:3995-4002 (1987); Yamamori, et al., Science 246:1412-1416 (1989); Gough, et al., Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. 85:2623-2627 (1988).

Antibodies to hLIF are expected to have valuable diagnostic and therapeutic applications, such as in assaying for the presence of hLIF in clinical specimens, and in regulating the biological effects of hLIF and the interaction of hLIF with its receptors and other ligands. In particular, monoclonal antibodies (mAbs) detecting unique epitopes of hLIF would be of great value in understanding and regulating the diverse biological activities of hLIF. Neutralizing mAbs specific for hLIF that inhibit one or more of the biological activities or effects of hLIF have great potential as therapeutic agents useful in the treatment of conditions wherein the presence of hLIF causes or contributes to undesirable pathological effects, such as cachexia, dysregulated calcium metabolism, or excessive bone resorption (such as may be associated with osteoporosis).

Several polyclonal antibodies have been described that react with LIF. Tomida, et al., FEBS Letters 151:281-285 (1983) immunized rabbits with partially purified D-factor from mouse cells, and obtained antibodies capable of neutralizing the activity of mouse D-factor, and to a lesser extent, rat and hamster D-factors, in several assays. Baumann, et al., J. Immunol. 143:1163-1167 (1989) reported that rabbit polyclonal antibodies against hepatocyte-stimulating

factor III (HSF-III) neutralized the activity of hLIF on hepatic cells.

There is a need for high affinity monoclonal antibodies to hLIF that are capable of effective inhibition of the biological activities of hLIF. It would be particularly desirable to provide monoclonal antibodies that are effective inhibitors of hLIF binding to its receptors, but which do not interfere with the binding of other factors, such as interleukin 1 (IL-1), interleukin 3 (IL-3), interleukin 6 (IL-6), tumor necrosis factor- α (TNF- α), granulocyte CSF (G-CSF), granulocyte-macrophage-colony stimulating factor (GM-CSF), and Oncostatin M.

Summary of the Invention

The present invention is based on successful research involving the production and extensive characterization of monoclonal antibodies to hLIF. Accordingly, the present invention is directed to monoclonal antibodies, and derivatives thereof, which are capable of recognizing unique epitopes on hLIF and/or which exhibit high affinity for hLIF. The invention is further directed to monoclonal antibodies capable of inhibiting one or more of the biological activities of hLIF.

In one aspect, the invention concerns an anti-hLIF monoclonal antibody that is capable of inhibiting the mitogenic effect of hLIF on leukemic cells, and that does not cross-react with IL-1, IL-3, IL-6, TNF- α , G-CSF, or GM-CSF.

In another aspect, the invention concerns isolated nucleic acid encoding such antibodies, and hybridoma or recombinant cells producing such antibodies.

In a further aspect, the invention concerns the therapeutic or diagnostic use of such antibodies. The monoclonal antibodies of the invention are useful as therapeutic agents, either by themselves or in conjunction with (chemo)therapeutic agents, to treat diseases or conditions that are aggravated by hLIF. The monoclonal antibodies of the invention also are useful in diagnostic and analytical assays for determining the presence of hLIF in clinical specimens.

These and further aspects will be apparent from the following detailed description.

Brief Description of the Drawings

5 Figure 1 shows the binding (antigenic) specificity of anti-hLIF mAb D25.1.4 as determined by ELISA.

Figure 2 shows a comparison of the binding of anti-hLIF monoclonal antibodies to recombinant human LIF (rHuLIF) and recombinant murine LIF (rMuLIF) as determined by ELISA.

10 Figure 3 shows the binding of horse radish peroxidase conjugated anti-hLIF monoclonal antibodies (HRP-mAbs) to hLIF in the presence and absence of 100-fold molar excess of unlabeled anti-hLIF monoclonal antibody (D3.14.1, D4.16.9, D25.1.4, or D62.3.2) or irrelevant control antibody (anti-hVEGF mAb A3.13.1).

15 Figure 4 shows the effect of anti-hLIF monoclonal antibody (D3.14.1, D4.16.9, D25.1.4, or D62.3.2) or irrelevant control antibody (anti-hVEGF mAb A3.13.1) on hLIF inhibition of M1-T22 murine myeloid leukemic cell growth. Results are expressed as a percentage reduction (neutralization) of such hLIF activity as compared to a control assay
20 in which hLIF inhibition of M1-T22 murine myeloid leukemic cell growth was determined in the absence of antibody.

Figure 5 shows fluorescence activated cell sorting (FACS) analysis of intracellular Ca^{2+} levels in Jurkat human T-cells exposed to hLIF alone (no Ab), or hLIF pre-incubated with anti-hLIF monoclonal
25 antibody (D3.14.1, D4.16.9, D25.1.4, or D62.3.2) or irrelevant control antibody (anti-hVEGF mAb A3.13.1). The assay was carried out over three minutes time; hLIF alone or the pre-incubated mixtures of hLIF and antibody were added one minute after the start of the FACS analysis (in the first panel (no Ab), for example, the time of
30 addition of hLIF is indicated by the downward pointing arrow).

Figure 6 shows the binding of ^{125}I -hLIF to hLIF receptors on M1-T22 cells in the presence of unlabeled anti-hLIF monoclonal antibody (D3.14.1, D4.16.9, D25.1.4, or D62.3.2) or irrelevant control antibody (anti-hVEGF mAb 3.13.1). The amount of anti-hLIF monoclonal antibody

added was either 50x, 10x, or 1x the molar amount of hLIF in the assay. NSC = ^{125}I -hLIF bound to M1-T22 cells in the presence of 1000-fold molar excess of unlabeled hLIF (a measure of non-specific binding). TC = ^{125}I -hLIF bound to M1-T22 cells in the absence of antibody (a measure of maximal binding).

Figure 7 shows the results of an ELISA for detection of various concentrations of hLIF, using mAb 4.16.9 as a capture antibody and mAb 3.14.1 as a detection antibody.

Detailed Description of the Invention

A. Definitions and General Methods

The term "monoclonal antibody" as used herein refers to a substantially homogeneous population of antibodies, i.e., the individual antibodies comprising the population are identical in specificity and affinity except for possible naturally occurring mutations that may be present in minor amounts. Note that a monoclonal antibody composition may contain more than one monoclonal antibody.

The monoclonal antibodies included within the scope of the invention include hybrid and recombinant antibodies (for example, "humanized" antibodies) regardless of species of origin or immunoglobulin class or subclass designation, as well as antibody fragments (for example, Fab, F(ab')₂, and Fv), so long as they have the novel and unobvious characteristics of the antibodies described herein, in preferred embodiments being antibodies that are capable of binding to substantially the same epitope as one recognized by a monoclonal antibody produced by any one of the D3.14.1, D4.16.9, D25.1.4, or D62.3.2 hybridomas described herein, and/or that have affinity for that epitope which is greater than or equal to the affinity of a monoclonal antibody produced by any one of such hybridomas.

Thus, the modifier "monoclonal" indicates the character of the antibody as a substantially homogeneous population of antibodies, and is not to be construed as requiring production of the antibody by any

particular method. For example, the monoclonal antibodies of the invention may be made using the hybridoma method first described by Kohler & Milstein, *Nature* 256:495-497 (1975), or may be made by recombinant DNA methods. For example, see Cabilly, *et al.*, U.S. Pat. No. 4,816,567; or Mage & Lamoyi, in Monoclonal Antibody Production Techniques and Applications, pp.79-97 (Marcel Dekker, Inc., New York, 1987).

In the hybridoma method, a mouse or other appropriate host animal is immunized with hLIF by subcutaneous, intraperitoneal, or intramuscular routes to elicit lymphocytes that produce or are capable of producing antibodies that will specifically bind to the protein used for immunization. Alternatively, lymphocytes may be immunized *in vitro*. Lymphocytes then are fused with myeloma cells using a suitable fusing agent, such as polyethylene glycol, to form a hybridoma cell. Goding, Monoclonal Antibodies: Principles and Practice, pp.59-103 (Academic Press, 1986).

The hybridoma cells thus prepared are seeded and grown in a suitable culture medium that preferably contains one or more substances that inhibit the growth or survival of the unfused, parental myeloma cells. For example, if the parental myeloma cells lack the enzyme hypoxanthine guanine phosphoribosyl transferase (HGPRT or HPRT), the culture medium for the hybridomas typically will include hypoxanthine, aminopterin, and thymidine (HAT medium), which substances prevent the growth of HGPRT-deficient cells.

Preferred myeloma cells are those that fuse efficiently, support stable high level expression of antibody by the selected antibody-producing cells, and are sensitive to a medium such as HAT medium. Among these, preferred myeloma cell lines are murine myeloma lines, such as P3-NS-1-Ag-4-1, Kohler, *et al.*, *Eur. J. Immunol.* 6:292-295 (1976), X63-Ag8.653, Kearney, *et al.*, *J. Immunol.* 123:1548-1550 (1979), SP2/0-Ag/4, Sltulman, *et al.*, *Nature* 276:269-270 (1978), or P₃X63Ag8U, Yelton *et al.*, Curr. Top. Microbiol. Immunol. 81:1-7 (1978). Human myeloma and mouse-human heteromyeloma cell lines also have been described for the production of human monoclonal antibodies. Kozbor,

J. Immunol. 133:3001-3005 (1984). Brodeur, et al., Monoclonal Antibody Production Techniques and Applications, pp.51-63 (Marcel Dekker, Inc., New York, 1987).

Culture medium in which hybridoma cells are growing is
5 conveniently assayed for production of monoclonal antibodies directed against hLIF. Preferably, the binding specificity of antibodies is determined by immunoprecipitation or by an in vitro binding assay, such as radioimmunoassay (RIA) or enzyme-linked immunoabsorbent assay (ELISA), or by fluorescence activated cell sorting (FACS). The
10 monoclonal antibodies of the invention are those that preferentially bind to soluble or cell bound hLIF and which are neutralizing, as explained herein. The specificity of binding of the monoclonal antibodies of the invention is determined by reaction of the antibodies with factors other than hLIF, with the objective being the
15 identification of antibodies that do not bind to any factors other than hLIF, especially IL-1, IL-3, IL-6, G-CSF, GM-CSF, or Oncostatin M. A monoclonal antibody that preferentially binds to soluble or cell bound hLIF generally will exhibit at least the same degree of specificity of binding as a monoclonal antibody produced by any one of
20 the D3.14.1, D4.16.9, D25.1.4, or D62.3.2 hybridomas described herein.

In a preferred embodiment of the invention, the monoclonal antibody will have an affinity which is greater than about 10^9 liters/mole and preferably is equal to or greater than about 10^{10} liters/mole, as determined, for example, by the Scatchard analysis of
25 Munson, et al., Anal. Biochem. 107:220-239 (1980).

The term "neutralizing antibody" as used herein refers to a monoclonal antibody that is capable of substantially inhibiting or eliminating a biological activity of hLIF.

After hybridoma cells are identified that produce neutralizing
30 antibodies of the desired specificity and affinity, the clones typically are subcloned by limiting dilution procedures and grown by standard methods. Goding, Monoclonal Antibodies: Principles and Practice, pp.59-104 (Academic Press, 1986). Suitable culture media for this purpose include, for example, Dulbecco's Modified Eagle's

Medium or RPMI-1640 medium. In addition, the hybridoma cells may be grown in vivo as ascites tumors in an animal.

The monoclonal antibodies secreted by the selected hybridoma cells are suitably purified from cell culture medium or ascites fluid
5 by conventional immunoglobulin purification procedures such as, for example, protein A-Sepharose, hydroxylapatite chromatography, gel electrophoresis, dialysis, or affinity chromatography.

DNA encoding the monoclonal antibodies of the invention is readily isolated and sequenced using conventional procedures (for
10 example, by using oligonucleotide probes that are capable of binding specifically to genes encoding the heavy and light chains of murine antibodies). The hybridoma cells of the invention serve as a preferred source of such DNA. Once isolated, the DNA is ligated into expression or cloning vectors, which are then transfected into host
15 cells such as simian COS cells, Chinese hamster ovary (CHO) cells, or myeloma cells that do not otherwise produce immunoglobulin protein. The transformant cells are cultured to obtain the synthesis of monoclonal antibodies in the recombinant host cell culture.

The DNA optionally is modified in order to change the character
20 of the immunoglobulin produced by its expression. Immunoglobulin variants are well known. For example, chimeric antibodies are made by substituting the coding sequence for human heavy and light chain constant domains in place of the homologous murine sequences. Cabilly, et al., U.S. Pat. No. 4,816,567 et al.; Morrison, et al.,
25 Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. 81:6851-6855 (1984). In addition, the Fc domain chosen is any of IgA, IgG-1, -2, -3 or -4. The Fc domain optionally is capable of effector functions such as complement binding.

Humanized forms of the murine antibodies are made by substituting the complementarity determining regions of the mouse antibody into a
30 human framework domain, as described, for example, in U.S. Patent Application serial number 07/715,272. In some embodiments, selected murine framework residues also are substituted into the human recipient immunoglobulin.

Fusions of the antibodies of this invention and cytotoxic moieties are made, for example, by ligating to the antibody coding sequence all or part of the coding sequence for a cytotoxic non-immunoglobulin polypeptide. Such non-immunoglobulin polypeptides include polypeptide toxins such as ricin, diphtheria toxin, or Pseudomonas exotoxin. Also, the conjugates can be prepared by in vitro methods. For example, immunotoxins may be constructed using a disulfide exchange reaction or by forming a thioether bond between the antibody and the toxin polypeptide. Examples of suitable reagents for this purpose include iminothiolate and methyl-4-mercaptobutyrimidate. Suitable fusion partners for the antibodies of this invention include viral sequences, cellular receptors such as the T-cell receptor, cytokines such as TNF, interferons, or interleukins, and other biologically or immunologically active polypeptides. Typically such non-immunoglobulin fusion polypeptides are substituted for the constant domains of an antibody of the invention. Alternatively, they are substituted for the variable domains of one antigen-combining site of an antibody of the invention.

Substitution of the Fc or complementary determining regions (CDRs) of an antibody having specificity for non-hLIF antigen will create a chimeric bivalent antibody comprising one antigen-combining site having specificity for hLIF and another antigen-combining site having specificity for a different antigen. In such embodiments, the light chain is deleted and the Fv domain of the heavy chain is substituted with the desired polypeptide. These antibodies are termed bivalent or polyvalent, depending upon the number of immunoglobulin "arms" possessed by the Fc domain employed (IgMs will be polyvalent). An antibody also may be rendered multivalent by intracellular recombination of antibodies having more than one specificity. For instance, an antibody in some embodiments is capable of binding hLIF as described elsewhere herein but is also capable of binding a T-cell, osteoblast, or liver cell surface antigen. In the case of T-cells, such antigens include CD3, CD4, CD8, CD18, CD11a, CD11b or CD11c. Examples of antibodies to cell surface antigens are well known. The

multispecific, multivalent antibodies are made by cotransforming a cell with DNA encoding the heavy and light chains of both the anti-hLIF antibody and the anti-cell surface antigen antibody. Those expressed antibodies having the desired multispecific, multivalent structure then are recovered by immunoaffinity chromatography or the like. Alternatively, such antibodies are made from monovalent antibodies which are recombined in vitro in conventional fashion.

Monovalent antibodies also are made by techniques that are conventional per se. Recombinant expression of light chain and a modified heavy chain is suitable. The heavy chain is truncated generally at any point in the Fc region so as to prevent heavy chain crosslinking. Alternatively, the relevant cysteines are substituted with another residue or deleted so as to prevent crosslinking. In vitro methods also are used to produce monovalent antibodies. For example, Fab fragments are prepared by enzymatic cleavage of intact antibody.

For diagnostic applications, the antibodies of the invention typically will be labeled with a detectable moiety. The detectable moiety can be any one which is capable of producing, either directly or indirectly, a detectable signal. For example, the detectable moiety may be a radioisotope, such as ^3H , ^{14}C , ^{32}P , ^{35}S , or ^{125}I , a fluorescent or chemiluminescent compound, such as fluorescein isothiocyanate, rhodamine, or luciferin; radioactive isotopic labels, such as, for example, ^{125}I , ^{32}P , ^{14}C , technicium, or ^3H ; or an enzyme, such as alkaline phosphatase, beta-galactosidase or horseradish peroxidase.

Any method known in the art for separately conjugating the antibody to the detectable moiety may be employed, including those methods described by Hunter, et al., Nature 144:945 (1962); David, et al., Biochemistry 13:1014 (1974); Pain, et al., J. Immunol. Meth. 40:219 (1981); and Nygren, J. Histochem. and Cytochem. 30:407 (1982).

The antibodies of the present invention may be employed in any known assay method, such as competitive binding assays, direct and indirect sandwich assays, and immunoprecipitation assays. Zola,

Monoclonal Antibodies: A Manual of Techniques, pp.147-158 (CRC Press, Inc., 1987).

Competitive binding assays rely on the ability of a labeled standard (which may be hLIF or an immunologically reactive portion thereof) to compete with the test sample analyte (hLIF) for binding with a limited amount of antibody. The amount of hLIF in the test sample is inversely proportional to the amount of standard that becomes bound to the antibodies. To facilitate determining the amount of standard that becomes bound, the antibodies generally are insolubilized before or after the competition, so that the standard and analyte that are bound to the antibodies may conveniently be separated from the standard and analyte which remain unbound.

Sandwich assays involve the use of two antibodies, each capable of binding to a different immunogenic portion, or epitope, of the protein to be detected. In a sandwich assay, the test sample analyte is bound by a first antibody which is immobilized on a solid support, and thereafter a second antibody binds to the analyte, thus forming an insoluble three part complex. David & Greene, U.S. Pat No. 4,376,110. The second antibody may itself be labeled with a detectable moiety (direct sandwich assays) or may be measured using an anti-immunoglobulin antibody that is labeled with a detectable moiety (indirect sandwich assay). For example, one type of sandwich assay is an ELISA assay, in which case the detectable moiety is an enzyme.

The antibodies of the invention also are useful for in vivo imaging, wherein an antibody labeled with a detectable moiety such as a radio-opaque agent or radioisotope is administered to a host, preferably into the bloodstream, and the presence and location of the labeled antibody in the host is assayed. This imaging technique is useful in the staging and treatment of neoplasms or bone disorders. The antibody may be labeled with any moiety that is detectable in a host, whether by nuclear magnetic resonance, radiology, or other detection means known in the art.

For therapeutic applications, the antibodies of the invention are administered to a mammal, preferably a human, in a pharmaceutically

acceptable dosage form. They are administered intravenously as a bolus or by continuous infusion over a period of time, by intramuscular, subcutaneous, intra-articular, intrasynovial, intrathecal, oral, topical, or inhalation routes. When the antibody possesses the suitable activity it is also suitably administered by intratumoral, peritumoral, intralesional, or perilesional routes, to exert local as well as systemic therapeutic effects.

Such dosage forms encompass pharmaceutically acceptable carriers that are inherently nontoxic and nontherapeutic. Examples of such carriers include ion exchangers, alumina, aluminum stearate, lecithin, serum proteins, such as human serum albumin, buffers such as phosphate or glycine, sorbic acid, potassium sorbate, partial glyceride mixtures of saturated vegetable fatty acids, water, salts, or electrolytes such as protamine sulfate, sodium chloride, metal salts, colloidal silica, magnesium trisilicate, polyvinyl pyrrolidone, cellulosic polymers, and polyethylene glycol. Carriers for topical or gel-based forms of antibody include polysaccharides such as sodium carboxymethylcellulose or methylcellulose, polyvinylpyrrolidone, polyacrylates, polyoxyethylene-polyoxypropylene-block polymers, polyethylene glycol, and wood wax alcohols. Conventional depot forms include, for example, microcapsules, nano-capsules, liposomes, plasters, sublingual tablets, and polymer matrices such as polylactide:polyglycolide copolymers. When present in an aqueous dosage form, rather than being lyophilized, the antibody typically will be formulated at a concentration of about 0.1 mg/ml to 100 mg/ml, although wide variation outside of these ranges is permitted.

For the prevention or treatment of disease, the appropriate dosage of antibody will depend on the type of disease to be treated, as defined above, the severity and course of the disease, whether the antibodies are administered for preventive or therapeutic purposes, the course of previous therapy, the patient's clinical history and response to the antibody, and the discretion of the attending physician. The antibody is suitably administered to the patient at one time or over a series of treatments.

Depending on the type and severity of the disease, about 0.015 to 15 mg of antibody/kg of patient weight is an initial candidate dosage for administration to the patient, whether, for example, by one or more separate administrations, or by continuous infusion. For
5 repeated administrations over several days or longer, depending on the condition, the treatment is repeated until a desired suppression of disease symptoms occurs. However, other dosage regimens may be useful and are not excluded herefrom.

According to another embodiment of the invention, the
10 effectiveness of the antibody in preventing or treating disease may be improved by administering the antibody serially or in combination with another agent that is effective for the same clinical objective, such as another antibody directed against a different epitope than the principal antibody, or one or more conventional therapeutic agents
15 known for the intended therapeutic indication, e.g. prevention or treatment of conditions associated with excessive bone resorption such as osteoporosis.

The antibodies of the invention also are useful as affinity purification agents. In this process, the antibodies against hLIF are
20 immobilized on a suitable support, such as a Sephadex resin or filter paper, using methods well known in the art. The immobilized antibody then is contacted with a sample containing the hLIF to be purified, and thereafter the support is washed with a suitable solvent that will remove substantially all the material in the sample except the hLIF,
25 which is bound to the immobilized antibody. Finally, the support is washed with another suitable solvent, such as glycine buffer, pH 5.0, that will release the hLIF from the antibody.

The following examples are offered by way of illustration only and are not intended to limit the invention in any manner.

30

EXAMPLE 1

Preparation of Monoclonal Antibodies

Recombinant hLIF, Schmelzer, et al., Prot. Exp. Purificat. 1:54-62 (1990), was conjugated to keyhole limpet hemocyanin (KLH) according

to the method of Nicolson, et al. Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. 68:942 (1971). Balb/c mice were injected intraperitoneally with 10 μ g of the resulting KLH-hLIF conjugate three times at two week intervals, and were boosted with the same dose of KLH-hLIF conjugate four days prior to cell fusion.

Spleen cells from the immunized mice were fused with P₃X63Ag8U₁ myeloma cells, Yelton, et al., Curr. Top. Microbiol. Immunol. 81:1-7 (1978), using 35% polyethylene glycol (PEG) as described. Laskov, et al., Cell. Immunol. 55:251-264 (1980). Hybridomas were selected in HAT medium.

Supernatants from hybridoma cell cultures were screened for anti-hLIF antibody production by an ELISA assay using hLIF-coated microtiter plates. Antibody that was bound to hLIF in each of the wells was determined using alkaline phosphatase-conjugated goat anti-mouse IgG immunoglobulin and the chromogenic substrate p-nitrophenyl phosphate. Harlow & Lane, Antibodies: A Laboratory Manual, p.597 (Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory, 1988). Hybridomas thus determined to produce anti-hLIF monoclonal antibodies were subcloned by limiting dilution.

Initially, 65 hybridomas producing anti-hLIF monoclonal antibodies were identified by ELISA. Of those, four hybridomas, designated D3.14.1, D4.16.9, D25.1.4, and D62.3.2 were chosen for further characterization. Ascites were produced in Balb/c mice and monoclonal antibodies were purified using protein-G conjugated 4B Sepharose. Hereinafter, the monoclonal antibodies produced by the D3.14.1, D4.16.9, D25.1.4, and D62.3.2 hybridomas are referred to as mAb D3.14.1, mAb D4.16.9, mAb D25.1.4, and mAb D62.3.2, respectively.

EXAMPLE 2

Characterization of Monoclonal Antibodies

A. Antigen Binding Specificity

The binding specificities of the anti-hLIF monoclonal antibodies produced by the D3.14.1, D4.16.9, D25.1.4, and D62.3.2 hybridomas were determined by ELISA. 10 μ g/ml of purified monoclonal antibody was

added to the wells of microtiter plates that previously had been coated with 2 μ g of hLIF, human IL-1, human IL-3, human IL-6, human TNF- α , human G-CSF, human GM-CSF, or human Oncostatin M. IL-6, G-CSF, and Oncostatin M are known to share significant amino acid sequence homologies with hLIF. Rose, et al., Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. 88:8641-8645 (1991).

Bound antibody was detected with horse radish peroxidase (HRP) conjugated goat anti-mouse IgG immunoglobulins. The HRP color reaction was developed by the addition of phosphate buffered saline (PBS) containing 0.4 mg/ml. of o-phenylenediamine diamine dihydrochloride plus 0.4 μ l/ml 30% hydrogen peroxide. The reaction was stopped by the addition of 100 μ l/well 2.25 M sulfuric acid. The color reaction was measured at 490 nm with an ELISA plate reader.

The results of those assays showed that each of mAb D3.14.1, mAb D4.16.9, mAb D25.1.4, and mAb D62.3.2 binds to hLIF, and not appreciably to those other protein factors, except that mAb D3.14.1 showed a weak cross-reactivity with human Oncostatin M. The results of the assay of mAb D25.1.4 reactivity with various protein factors are shown in Figure 1. The other monoclonal antibodies showed similar binding specificity for hLIF.

Additionally, the binding of mAb D3.14.1, mAb D4.16.9, mAb D25.1.4, and mAb D62.3.2 to murine LIF was determined by ELISA. As shown in Figure 2, mAb D4.16.9 and mAb D62.3.2 bound to murine LIF with about the same affinity as to hLIF, mAb D25.1.4 bound to murine LIF with lower affinity than to hLIF, and mAb D3.14.1 did not detectably bind to murine LIF.

B. Epitope Mapping

A competitive binding ELISA was used to determine whether the monoclonal antibodies produced by the D3.14.1, D4.16.9, D25.1.4, and D62.3.2 hybridomas bind to the same or different epitopes (sites) within hLIF. Anti-hLIF antibodies (mAb D3.14.1, mAb D4.16.9, mAb D25.1.4, and mAb D62.3.2) and an irrelevant anti-human vascular endothelial growth factor (hVEGF) antibody (mAb A3.13.1) were

conjugated with horse radish peroxidase (HRP) and the competitive binding ELISA was performed as described by Kim, et al., Infect. Immun. 57:944-950 (1989).

As shown in Figure 3, the inhibition pattern of the binding of each HRP-conjugated anti-hLIF antibody was unique, making it likely that each antibody recognizes a different epitope on hLIF. The binding of each of mAb D3.14.1 and mAb D25.1.4 was inhibited only by itself. The binding of mAb D4.16.4 was inhibited by mAb D3.14.1 and mAb D25.1.4 as well as mAb D4.16.4, while the binding of mAb D3.14.1 and mAb D25.1.4 was not blocked by mAb D4.16.9. The binding of mAb D62.3.2 was inhibited by mAb D25.1.4, but the binding of mAb D25.1.4 was not inhibited by mAb D62.3.2.

C. Isotyping

The isotypes of the anti-hLIF monoclonal antibodies produced by the D3.14.1, D4.16.9, D25.1.4, and D62.3.2 hybridomas were determined by ELISA. Hybridoma cell culture supernatants were added to the wells of microtiter plates that had previously been coated with hLIF. The captured anti-hLIF monoclonal antibodies were incubated with different isotype-specific alkaline phosphatase-conjugated goat anti-mouse immunoglobulins (Fisher Biotech, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania USA), and the binding of the conjugated antibodies to the anti-hLIF monoclonal antibodies was determined by the addition of p-nitrophenyl phosphate. The color reaction was measured at 405 nm with an ELISA plate reader.

By that method, the isotype of the monoclonal antibodies produced by each of the D3.14.1, D4.16.9, D25.1.4, and D62.3.2 hybridomas was determined to be IgG1.

D. Binding Affinity

The affinities of the anti-hLIF monoclonal antibodies produced by the D3.14.1, D4.16.9, D25.1.4, and D62.3.2 hybridomas were determined by a competitive binding assays. A predetermined sub-optimal concentration of monoclonal antibody was added to samples containing 20,000 - 40,000 cpm ¹²⁵I-hLIF (1 - 2 ng) and various known amounts of

unlabeled hLIF (1 - 1000 ng) in 0.2 ml. phosphate buffered saline (PBS) containing 0.5% bovine serum albumin (BSA) and 0.05% Tween 20. After 1 hour at room temperature, 100 μ l of goat anti-mouse Ig antisera (Pel-Freez, Rogers, Arkansas USA) were added, and the mixtures were incubated another hour at room temperature. Complexes of antibody and bound protein (immune complexes) were precipitated by the addition of 500 μ l of 6% polyethylene glycol (PEG, mol. wt. 8000) at 4° C., followed by centrifugation at 2000 x G. for 20 min. at 4° C. The amount of 125 I-hLIF bound to the anti-hLIF monoclonal antibody in each sample was determined by counting the pelleted material in a gamma counter.

Affinity constants were calculated from the data by Scatchard analysis. Munson, et al., Anal. Biochem. 107:220 (1980). The affinity of each of mAb D3.14.1, mAb D4.16.9, mAb D25.1.4, and mAb D62.3.2 was determined to be in the range of about 1.4×10^9 liters/mole to 1.7×10^{10} liters/mole.

F. Inhibition of hLIF Activity

The antibodies produced by the D3.14.1, D4.16.9, D25.1.4, and D62.3.2 were assayed for their ability to neutralize the ability of hLIF to inhibit growth of M1-T22 murine myeloid leukemic cells, Tomida, et al., Biochem. J. 176:655-669 (1978), and the ability of hLIF to induce release of intracellular calcium (Ca^{2+}) from Jurkat human T-cells. M1-T22 is a subclone of the murine myeloid leukemia cell line M1. Tomida, et al., Biochem. J. 176:655-669 (1978). Jurkat human T-cells were originally described by Weiss, et al., J. Immunol. 133:123-128 (1984). The Jurkat human T-cells used in the assays described herein were from a stock maintained at Genentech, Inc.

The M1-T22 cell growth inhibition assay was carried out as described by Lowe, et al., DNA 8:351-359 (1989). Generally, M1-T22 cells at 10^4 cells/were suspended in the wells of microtiter plates in minimal essential medium (MEM) supplemented with 10% fetal calf serum (FCS), 2mM nonessential amino acids, 1 mM sodium pyruvate, 1mM glutamine, penicillin, and streptomycin, in the presence of 0.1 - 0.2

ng/ml recombinant hLIF, with or without added anti-hLIF monoclonal antibodies (at 5x, 25x, or 125x molar excess relative to hLIF).

As shown in Figure 4, mAb D25.1.4 blocked up to 87% of the M1-T22 growth inhibition activity of hLIF at a 1:5 molar ratio of antigen to antibody. mAb D25.1.4 blocked up to 90% of the M1-T22 growth inhibition activity of hLIF at a 1:25 molar ratio of antigen to antibody. mAb D3.14.1 and mAb D4.16.9 only minimally blocked the M1-T22 growth inhibition activity of hLIF.

In the course of making the present invention, it was found that hLIF induces an increase in cytoplasmic calcium (Ca^{2+}) concentration in Jurkat human T-cells. To determine the ability of anti-hLIF monoclonal antibodies to inhibit that activity of hLIF, Jurkat human T-cells at 10^6 cells/ml were loaded with 5 μM indo-1 acetoxymethylester, Grynkiewicz, et al., J. Biol. Chem. 260:3440-3450 (1985), for 15 minutes at 37° C. as described. June, et al., Pathol. Immunopathol. Res. 7:409-432 (1988). The cells were then washed with RPMI medium without Ca^{2+} and Mg^{2+} , and resuspended in the same medium. 10 μl of anti-hLIF monoclonal antibody was incubated with 100 μl of hLIF (5 $\mu\text{g}/\text{ml}$) for one hour. hLIF alone or an antibody-hLIF mixture was then added to the indo-1 loaded Jurkat cell cultures. Immediately after, level of free cytosolic Ca^{2+} in the cells was determined by fluorescence activated cell sorting, using a Coulter 753 cell sorter and 200mW UV excitation (351.1 - 363.8 nm), with fluorescence emission collected as a ratio of 405 nm / 525 nm as described by June, et al., Pathol. Immunopathol. Res. 7:409-432 (1988).

As shown in Figure 5, hLIF (not pre-incubated with antibody) induced an increase in intracellular Ca^{2+} in the Jurkat cells within several minutes after its addition to the cell cultures. Preincubation of hLIF with any one of mAb D4.16.9, mAb D25.1.4, or mAb D62.3.3 reduced or eliminated the hLIF-induced increase in intracellular Ca^{2+} . Preincubation of hLIF with mAb D3.14.1 had little or no effect on hLIF-induced increase in intracellular Ca^{2+} .

To determine the effect of the monoclonal antibodies on hLIF binding to its receptors, ^{125}I -hLIF binding to M1-T22 cells was compared

in the presence and absence of anti-hLIF monoclonal antibody. ^{125}I -hLIF (5 x 10⁴ cpm / 2 ng) was incubated with various amounts of antibody (either 1x, 10x, or 50x the molar amount of hLIF) in a final volume of 100 μl for 30 minutes at 37°C. 10⁶ M1-T22 cells were then added to the antibody-hLIF mixture and incubation continued for 30 minutes at 37°C. Unbound ^{125}I -hLIF was separated from bound ^{125}I -hLIF by loading the mixtures onto a solution of 20% sucrose, 0.1% bovine serum albumin (BSA) in phosphate buffered saline (PBS) and centrifuging at 300 x G for 10 minutes. The supernatant was removed and the radioactivity associated with the pellet was counted in a gamma counter.

As shown in Figure 6, the anti-hLIF antibodies differed in their ability to block hLIF binding to its receptors. At a 50x molar excess of antibody to ^{125}I -hLIF, mAb D25.1.4, mAb D62.3.2, mAb 3.14.1, and mAb 4.16.9 reduced ^{125}I -hLIF binding by about 95%, 65%, 10%, and 30%, respectively. By comparison, ^{125}I -hLIF binding to M1-T22 cells was reduced about 80% by the addition of a 1000-fold molar excess of unlabeled hLIF.

EXAMPLE 3

Use of anti-hLIF monoclonal antibodies in ELISA to detect human LIF

To determine levels of hLIF in clinical or other samples, and to distinguish hLIF from other protein factors, an ELISA was developed using mAb 4.16.9 as a capture antibody and horse radish peroxidase-conjugated mAb 3.14.1 as a detection antibody. As shown in Figure 7, using that combination of antibodies in an ELISA, as little as 0.1 ng hLIF could be detected.

In respect of those designations in which a European patent is sought, a sample of the deposited microorganism will be made available until the publication of the mention of the grant of the European patent or until the date on which the application has been refused or withdrawn or is deemed to be withdrawn, only by the issue of such a sample to an expert nominated by the person requesting the sample.

(Rule 28(4) EPC)

What is claimed is:

1. An anti-hLIF monoclonal antibody composition capable of binding to substantially the same hLIF epitope recognized by a monoclonal antibody selected from the group consisting of mAb D3.14.1, mAb D4.16.9, mAb D25.1.4, and mAb D62.3.2.
2. A monoclonal antibody composition of claim 1 capable of reducing the ability of hLIF to inhibit growth of M1-T22 murine myeloid leukemic cells.
3. A monoclonal antibody composition of claim 1 capable of reducing the ability of hLIF to induce release of intracellular calcium from Jurkat human T-cells.
4. A monoclonal antibody composition of claim 1 which has an affinity for hLIF of at least 10^9 liters/mole.
5. A monoclonal antibody of claim 1 that is substantially incapable of binding to Oncostatin M.
6. An isolated nucleic acid encoding an antibody of claim 1.
7. A hybridoma cell which produces a monoclonal antibody of claim 1.
8. A hybridoma cell which produces a monoclonal antibody of claim 4.
9. The hybridoma cell deposited under American Type Culture Collection Accession Number ATCC HB11076 (referred to herein as 3.14.1).
10. The hybridoma cell deposited under American Type Culture Collection Accession Number ATCC HB11077 (referred to herein as D4.16.9).
11. The hybridoma cell deposited under American Type Culture Collection Accession Number ATCC HB 11074 (referred to herein as D25.1.4).
12. The hybridoma cell deposited under American Type Culture Collection Accession Number ATCC HB11075 (referred to herein as D62.3.2).
13. A monoclonal antibody produced by the hybridoma cell of claim 9.

14. A monoclonal antibody produced by the hybridoma cell of claim 10.
15. A monoclonal antibody produced by the hybridoma cell of claim 11.
- 5 16. A monoclonal antibody produced by the hybridoma cell of claim 12.
17. A pharmaceutical composition comprising a therapeutically effective amount of an antibody of claim 1.
18. An immunoassay comprising the steps of:
- 10 (a) contacting a test sample with a monoclonal antibody of claim 1, and
- (b) determining the amount of hLIF in the test sample that is bound to the monoclonal antibody.
19. A monoclonal antibody composition of claim 1 wherein the
- 15 antibody is bound to a cytotoxin.
20. A monoclonal antibody composition of claim 1 wherein the antibody is labeled with a detectable marker.




FIG.1




FIG.7




FIG.2A




FIG.2B




FIG.3





FIG.4






FIG.6

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International Application No

PCT/US 93/04189

I. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER (if several classification symbols apply, indicate all)⁶

According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both National Classification and IPC

Int.Cl. 5 C12P21/08; C12N5/20; C12N15/13; A61K39/395
 G01N33/577; A61K47/48

II. FIELDS SEARCHEDMinimum Documentation Searched⁷

Classification System

Classification Symbols

Int.Cl. 5 C12P ; C12N ; A61K ; G01N

Documentation Searched other than Minimum Documentation
 to the Extent that such Documents are Included in the Fields Searched⁸

III. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT⁹

Category ¹⁰	Citation of Document, ¹¹ with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages ¹²	Relevant to Claim No. ¹³
X	JOURNAL OF LEUKOCYTE BIOLOGY (ABSTRACTS OF THE 28TH NATIONAL MEETING OF THE SOCIETY FOR LEUKOCYTE BIOLOGY, ASPEN, CO, US, 28 SEPT.-1 OCT., 1991) vol. 0, no. SP.2, 1991, NEW YORK, N.Y., US page 49 M. ALPHONSO ET AL. 'NEUTRALIZING MONOCLONAL ANTIBODIES TO HUMAN LEUKEMIA INHIBITORY FACTOR (LIF).' see abstract No. 124	1-20
A	WO,A,9 113 985 (J.K. HEATH ET AL.) 19 September 1991 see page 5, line 19 - page 5, line 9; claims 1,8,9,16,20,21 see page 8, line 20 - line 26 --- -/--	1-20

¹⁰ Special categories of cited documents :

- "A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance
- "E" earlier document but published on or after the international filing date
- "L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)
- "O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means
- "P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed

"T" later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention

"X" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step

"Y" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art.

"&" document member of the same patent family

IV. CERTIFICATION

Date of the Actual Completion of the International Search

23 AUGUST 1993

Date of Mailing of this International Search Report

02-09-1993

International Searching Authority

EUROPEAN PATENT OFFICE

Signature of Authorized Officer

RYCKEBOSCH A.O.

III. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

(CONTINUED FROM THE SECOND SHEET)

Category *	Citation of Document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to Claim No.
P,X	<p>JOURNAL OF IMMUNOLOGICAL METHODS vol. 156, no. 1, 25 November 1992, NEW YORK US pages 9 - 17 K.J. KIM ET AL. 'DETECTION OF HUMAN LEUKEMIA INHIBITORY FACTOR BY MONOCLONAL ANTIBODY BASED ELISA.' see the whole document -----</p>	1-20

US 9304189
SA 73848

This annex lists the patent family members relating to the patent documents cited in the above-mentioned international search report. The members are as contained in the European Patent Office EDP file on
The European Patent Office is in no way liable for these particulars which are merely given for the purpose of information. 23/

23/08/93

Patent document cited in search report	Publication date	Patent family member(s)	Publication date
WO-A-9113985	19-09-91	EP-A- 0518933	23-12-92
